

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,346	09/09/2003	Royden M. Honda	MN1-006US	2107	
29150 7	590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYI	ES, PLLC SIDE AVE, STE 500		DINH, TRINH VO		
SPOKANE, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2021		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/658,346	HONDA ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trinh Vo Dinh	2821				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication a ply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss			
THE MAIL  - Extensions after SIX (6)  - If the period  - If NO period  - Failure to re  Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ceived by the Office later than three months after the man term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	consive to communication(s) filed on an	mendment filed 09/09/2004.					
· <u> </u>		his action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	e this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is			
close	ed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition o	f Claims						
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>123, 36-47</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) C	of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Clair	m(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clair	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 36-47</u> is/are rejected.						
	m(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clair	m(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application P	apers			• .			
9) <u></u> The s	specification is objected to by the Exam	iner					
10)⊠ The o	drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑	] objected to by the Examine	er.			
	cant may not request that any objection to t		• •				
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			* -			
11) <u></u> The o	path or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)□ All 1.□ 2.□	mile copies of the priority trooping	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been	pplication No	ge			
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a l	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.				
				•			
Attachment(s)	*						
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0/Mail Date 09/09/03.	_	formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/658,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. The Applicant election with traverse with cancellation of nonelected claims 24-35 is acknowledged. The Applicant traverses on the ground that the restriction requirement is improper and the species can be searched without burden to the office. The Examiner disagrees and asserts that examination of all species will present an undue burden. Therefore, the restriction for examination is proper and Final.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-18, 22-23, 36-47 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 2-18, 22-23 and 36-47, line 1, "A" should be changed to --The--.

In claim 21, lines 5 and 7, "the enclosed waveguide" has no antecedent basis. "the enclosed waveguide" should be changed to --the waveguide--.

In claim 22, line 2, "the enclosed waveguide" should be changed to --the waveguide--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, 9, 11-20, 22-23 draw to the apparatus and method claims 36-37 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al (US 5,416,492).

With respect to claims 1, 20 and 22, Takahashi discloses an antenna element (Figs. 1-2 col. 4, lines 30-35) a front plate (2) that includes slots (17) configured for wireless communication signal transfer, a dielectric (12) configured to regulate a cutoff wavelength of the antenna element (col. 5, lines 17-40), a channel guide (1) coupled to the front plate and configured to confine the dielectric in a position that aligns the dielectric with the slots in the front plate, and a back plate (18) coupled to the channel guide and configured to enclose the dielectric within the channel guide to form an enclosed dielectric channel.

With respect to claim 7, Takahashi discloses the channel guide (1) includes at least a first sidewall and a second sidewall (3 in Figs. 1-2), and wherein the first sidewall and the second sidewall are each configured to prevent communication signal interference.

With respect to claims 9, 11-12, 15-16 Takahashi discloses, in Fig. 46, the front plate including a first row of one or more of the slots (31) and a second row of one or more of the slots (31), and the slots in the first row are offset from the slot in the second row in a direction parallel to the first row and a distance that is substantially a length of the slot. Takahashi furthermore discloses an offset slot is substantially rectangular having an offset section formed about a traverse center of the offset slot.

With respect to claims 13-14, Takahashi discloses, the slots in the front plate being substantially rectangular (21 in Fig. 1), or notched slots (Fig. 46),

With respect to claim 19 and 23, Takahashi discloses a waveguide being in used in an antenna assembly (abstract, col. 4, lines 30-35).

With respect to claims 17-18, Takahashi discloses, in Figs. 61, 63, 65 or col. 15, lines 49+, a connection system configured to communicatively coupled the antenna element to tan

Application/Control Number: 10/658,346

Art Unit: 2821

antenna system component (64, 65, 74, 77), an RF connection system (col. 15, lines 65-68) configured to communicatively coupled the antenna element to an antenna system component.

With respect to claims 36-37 and 41-47, the apparatus discussed above would perform the claimed method.

5. Claims 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bond (US 4,864,314).

With respect to claims 20 and 23, Bond discloses a waveguide (col. 4, lines 28-34) comprising a solid dielectric (11+12 in Figs. 1, 4) and being used in an antenna assembly (col. 4, lines 28-57).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Kishino et al (US 6,094,106).

Takahashi discloses every feature of the claimed invention except the dielectric being formed from a polystyrene material. Kishino discloses a dielectric being polystyrene (col. 1, lines 29-31). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polystyrene for forming the dielectric because of its easy process ability.

8. Claims 3-6 and 21 draw to the apparatus and the method claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Piloto et al (US 5,382,931).

With respect to claims 3-5 and 21, Takahashi discloses every feature of the claimed invention except the dielectric including a center conductive section and one or more cross section transverse to the center conductor section. Piloto discloses, in Fig. 1, a dielectric (12) having a center conductor section and one or more cross sections perpendicular to the center conductive section and transverse to the center conductor section (col. 3, lines 28-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ Piloto's structure to Takahashi's dielectric. Doing so would improve the cutoff wavelength of antenna element.

With respect to claim 6, Takahashi further discloses, in Figs. 46-47, the center conductive section (12) extending lengthwise within the enclosed dielectric channel between a first row of the slots and a second row of the slots (31).

With respect to claims 38-40, the apparatus discussed above would perform the claimed method.

9. Claims 8, 10 draw to the apparatus and the method claims are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Hoover et al (US 5,757,329).

Takahashi discloses substantially the claimed invention as noted above in claim 1.

Takahashi also discloses, including in the first plate, the slots spaced apart a distance of antenna's wavelength divided by two. Hoover discloses a plate (44) including slots (56) spaced apart a distance of ½ the wavelength (col. 9, lines 9-25). Since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the benefit of improving the performance and the electrical characteristic of

Application/Control Number: 10/658,346 Page 6

Art Unit: 2821

the antenna element, it would have been obvious to configure the slots with ½ wavelength space apart as taught by Hoover.

### **Inquiry**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821

Trinh Vo Dinh October 22, 2004